

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND**

**IN RE THE APPLICATION OF THE
BALTIMORE SUN FOR ACCESS TO
CERTAIN SEALED COURT RECORDS**

18-CV-2444-CCB

Related To Misc. Nos.

**16-152
16-189
16-306
16-307
16-mc-633
16-641
16-mc-363
16-mc-634
16-mc-744
16-778
16-2035-ADC
16-2103-JMC
16-2238-SAG
16-2855-BPG
16-3369-SAG
17-158
17-159
17-587-SAG to 17-601-SAG
17-602-SAG
17-623-SAG
17-624-SAG**

NOTICE OF FILING OF UNSEALED DOCUMENTS

The United States of America, by its undersigned counsel, hereby files this notice that certain records sought in the Application of the Baltimore Sun for Access to Certain Sealed Court Records (Document No. 1, filed August 10, 2018) have been unsealed. The Application requested that the Government unseal three categories of documents related to the investigation of the Baltimore Police Department's Gun Trace Task Force conducted by undersigned counsel: (1) "search warrant materials"; (2) "PR/TT Materials," referring to pen register and trap and trace devices; and (3) "Section 2703(d) Materials."

In its response to the Baltimore Sun's application, the United States indicated that it would unseal the documents covered by the Application by November 16, 2018 (Document No. 6, filed September 26, 2018). The Baltimore Sun agreed to this release date and the Court approved it via a marginal order on October 12, 2018 (Document No. 7).

Attached to this filing are redacted versions of the documents that the Application sought to unseal. To protect the privacy of those involved, the Government has redacted all personally identifiable information – e.g., email addresses, home addresses, telephone numbers, and names of uncharged individuals and uncharged entities from the documents that are subject to unsealing at that time.¹

Respectfully submitted,

Robert K. Hur
United States Attorney

By: 

Leo J. Wise
Derek E. Hines
Assistant United States Attorneys

Dated: November 16, 2018

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this filing was served on defense counsel via ECF electronic filing.

By: 

Leo J. Wise
Assistant United States Attorney

¹ There is ordinarily no legitimate governmental interest served by the government's public allegation of wrongdoing by an uncharged party. *See, e.g., In re Smith*, 656 F.2d 1101, 1106-07 (5th Cir. 1981). Courts have applied this reasoning to preclude the public identification of unindicted third-party wrongdoers in plea hearings, sentencing memoranda, and other government pleadings. *See, e.g., Finn v. Schiller*, 72 F.3d 1182 (4th Cir. 1996); *United States v. Briggs*, 513 F.2d 794 (5th Cir. 1975); *United States v Anderson*, 55 F.Supp.2d 1163 (D. Kan 1999); *United States v. Smith*, 992 F. Supp. 743 (D.N.J. 1998).